## **MULTI-YEAR ASSESSMENT PLAN: 2019-2025**

Department: Psychology Chair: Dr. Ronald See

Program Learning Outcomes	2019- 2020	<b>2020- 2021</b> Cancelled	2021- 2022	2022- 2023	2023- 2024	2024- 2025 6-year review prep	Assessment methods and tools	Benchmarks	Who is in charge?	How the loop will be closed/has been closed?
Knowledge Base	х						KAPT: an online assessment tool previously utilized	% performance	Smith	Compare to previous assessment; Discuss possible improvements based on outcomes
Scientific Research     Methods and Skills			X				Compare PSY13 students with seniors using in-class assessment	Level based % of performance	Saad	Follows up on the last 6-year assessment in order to ascertain curricular changes in course focus
3. Values and Character				x			Evaluate and compare seniors and alums using online survey; Defining Issues Test (DIT)	Alums> Seniors	Rogers	We will re-assess our curricular and extracurricular programs based on results and make appropriate changes
4. Applications					x		Site supervisor questionnaires in PSY196	Above average rating on Likert scale	Gurney	Compare to previous assessment and discuss possible changes to internship program
Key Questions							Means of inquiry and evaluation		Who is in charge?	Data-guided recommendations
What changes are necessary for the psychology curriculum and co-curricular activities?							Comparison data from 6- year review; student feedback; faculty discussion; compare to APA's best practices or recommended curriculum		Rogers Saad	Seek appropriate adjustments in current courses and training opportunities; make selective additions as resources allow
2. How can we make better use of our existing resources and expand our resources?							Assess needs in conjunction with broader campus goals and plan for resources		See	Hire new faculty member and seek to develop an alumni and donor base
3. Are the department PLOs relevant and sustainable?							Discuss, evaluate, and revise as a group		Smith	Evaluate current PLOs at the beginning of the new cycle

GE Projects				Means of inquiry and	Who is	Data-guided recommendations
				evaluation	in	
					charge?	
1.						

<u>Discussion/Comments/Reflections</u>:
We plan to participate in future GE projects as they become available and as they fit into our department plans and goals.

Departmental Program Review Retreats							
Date	Agenda	Decisions made	Participants				
2/9/18	Discussion and planning for the six-year review	Framework and component sections of the six- year review were finalized and assignments for each faculty and staff member were formally defined. Decisions were reached on the relevant material to be included in the 6-year report and appendices.	See, Saad, Smith, Rogers, Gurney, VandeBunte, Williams				
11/30/18	1 0	Responses to the PRC and external reviewer were	See, Saad, Smith, Rogers, Gurney,				
	post six-year review	formulated and drafted into a report.	VandeBunte, Williams				